

Newsletters 2013

Berkshire 9/11 Truth Movement Analytical Newsletter for **January 2013**
(2013-02-02)

- * MPs speak out against Mali mission creep
- * Is Mali invasion an Orwellian mirage war?
- * In Armenas: was it the people MI6 paid to kill Gadhafi?
- * Africa: Could it be the next Vietnam?
- * Sandy Hook: Was it an American Operation Gladio?
- * Anti-SOPA campaigner Aaron Swartz: was it murder?
- * Max Keiser with Alex Jones: Fascism is here
- * The death of nurse Jacintha Saldanha: was it as it seemed?
- * 9/11 wars: steps nearer to new Nuremberg trials
- * There's something wrong - and everything depends on me!

A year ago I wrote: "January has passed, and we still don't know who we are going to wage war on this year. The Establishment is trying very hard to fix something up, but it seems they are having problems". Well, now we do know; it was Syria.

It was a covert war, presented by politicians and the mass media as President Assad irrationally bombing his own people, who were reacting by rising up against him. Bit by bit NATO's support was admitted to. Yet they had gone one step too far, in destabilising a country with a Russian naval base. The Russians and the Chinese appear to have drawn a line in the sand, and so the NATO warmongers are now looking farther south.

- * MPs speak out against Mali mission creep

This year it's Mali. It's a war that the Establishment is engaging in without the will of the people. France sent in troops in order to oppose an invasion by the very troops that NATO had been arming and encouraging when they were destabilising Libya. According to the International Business Times of 20 January, "The Foreign Office told IBTimes UK that there is absolutely no chance of a deployment of ground forces to assist efforts by France and a coalition of troops from African countries" (<http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/articles/425925/20130120/mali-france-islam-war.htm>). Even so, any assistance to an invasion is an act of war.

The Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, Mark Simmonds, had made a statement in the House of Commons on 14 January, outlining the UK's assistance to the French invasion, stating, "the Prime Minister agreed to the French request for limited logistic support and directed the Chief of the Defence Staff to make aircraft available". He also stated that the EU was considering a military training mission in Mali, and that the UK would be part of that. "Additionally", he stated, "a small detachment of technical personnel has deployed to Bamako airport to assist with the reception of UK aircraft". He also assured the House that "British forces will not undertake a combat role in Mali" (<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201213/cmhansrd/cm130114/debtext/130114-0002.htm>).

The last point was taken up by Bob Stewart, who said, "The House totally understands that no combat troops will be deployed, yet technical personnel will be sent to Bamako airfield to service the large aircraft that will presumably bring in equipment such as tanks. When those aircraft land, will those technical personnel include force protection personnel, possibly including personnel from the RAF Regiment, who are actually soldiers?". He didn't get a direct answer.

Veteran anti-war campaigner (and, incidentally, Esperanto supporter) Jeremy Corbyn, asked of the minister: "Is he concerned about mission creep and the unintended consequences of Britain's and France's involvement in a war that will create a growth in the forces he is seeking to oppose, rather than bring about the political settlement that is necessary to achieve peace and prosperity for the people of the country?". Following up on the idea of 'mission creep', another anti-war veteran, Paul Flynn asked: "Why us again? We have no post-colonial obligations to Mali. Even without mission creep, we are already exposed to possible terrorist reprisals because of the actions that we have taken. We have seen 618 British lives lost in two wars where there was little direct threat to British interests. Why are the Government so eager to put at risk the lives of British citizens in order to become the policeman of the world?". No answers were forthcoming.

Then it was announced that Cameron was to send 200 troops to West Africa, in a major escalation of the UK's involvement (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2269818/British-soldiers-west-Africa-weeks-Cameron-sends-200-troops-major-escalation-UKs-involvement.html>). Forty will go straight to Mali and operate under EU command. So, immediately, we see that David Cameron has little say in how those troops will be deployed. "They will not serve on the front line but will be on standby to provide the initial tranche in what could eventually become a bigger deployment", reports the Daily Mail. So what does 'standby' mean?

And the numbers continue to escalate ...

But in the Belgian parliament, Laurent Louis made a direct and outspoken attack on the invasion of Mali (<http://dotsub.com/view/ce723de9-d295-4a2e-83aa-122f5a6d2fdc>). He spoke in French, and there are subtitles in English. It would be useful if some good people would add subtitles in other languages, too, using the 'dotsub.com' system, because this was a really important speech.

He mentioned the interests of the French nationalised company Areva in uranium deposits in Mali, which are needed to keep France's nuclear power stations running. Indeed, Reuters put out a report headed 'France orders special forces to protect Niger uranium', saying that they were under threat from the rebels (<http://www.reuters.com/article/2013/01/24/us-mali-rebels-niger-areva-idUSBRE90N0K820130124>).

It seems there are gold deposits there, too.

* Mali: is it an Orwellian mirage war?

Yet who are the rebels, and where are they? Richard Cottrell last year authored the book 'Gladio, NATO's Dagger at the Heart of Europe', and he wrote in, asking: "Is this a mirage war? Is there any 'war' at all? Or is this just a take-over and an occupation.. ---its a strange war

without battles or pictorial evidence". He sent me a link to an Al Jazeera article of 27 January, headed 'Mali journalists despair over "invisible war"', which stated: "Reporters struggle to work as travel bans are enforced and official information, such as death tolls, are not released" (<http://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/features/2013/01/2013127154355125483.html>).

I replied that I had similar concerns over Afghanistan. Certainly troops were being killed, but by whom? Richard Cottrell replied: "More and more mysterious. No reporters. No pix of the fighting. No streams of refugees clogging the roads. This looks like an occupation conducted under a pretext. Yes and you could well be right about Afghanistan. Doesn't it all remind you of 1984? Wars go on forever but they are only reported, not witnessed in any visual form".

Then on 29 January there were reports that Timbuktu had been captured, with no resistance, and that, as the BBC put it, "The jihadists have melted away in the desert" (<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-21227053>). How can a significant military force just "melt away in the desert"? Don't these people have access to satellite imagery (<https://maps.google.co.uk/maps?q=Timbuktu>)? Le Monde has published a 'Call for evidence'. "You are in Mali; how are you experiencing the French intervention?", they ask (http://www.lemonde.fr/afrique/article/2013/01/28/les-forces-francaises-et-maliennes-atteignent-tombouctou_1823303_3212.html). Some readers have similar doubts.

* In Armenas: was it the people MI6 paid to kill Gadhafi?

Then we have the curious, and tragic, attack and taking of hostages at the In Amenas gas plant in Algeria. Has it really escaped the attention of the mainstream media that this gas plant lies just 25 km from the Libyan border? Who really carried out this atrocity? Was that, too, carried out by some of the very people that NATO had been arming and encouraging in destabilising Libya? Could they still be supporting the same people? Anyone who knows about NATO's Secret Armies and Operation Gladio will have no difficulty in understanding that possibility. Could their aim now be to destabilise Algeria? No wonder the Algerian government didn't consult with NATO countries before moving in.

Many newspapers around the world were linking this to the French military operation in Mali (<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-21081766>), but if that was the case, then the perpetrators would have had to have had forewarning of the French operation for such a complex attack. A London-based risk assessor, Exclusive Analysis, warned last year of such an attack at In Amenas (http://www.cnbc.com/id/100410586/Experts_Had_Warned_of_Attack_on_In_Amenas_Gas_Plant). The Daily Mail reported that the operation had been led by a white Canadian, and that two Britons had been involved (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2265518/Algeria-crisis-Algerian-forces-Canadians-Frenchman-bodies-gas-plant-gunmen.html>). Then Kurt Nimmo, writing in Infowars, revealed that Mokhtar Belmokhtar, who took credit for masterminding the attack, as leader of the Islamist al-Mulathameen Brigade, had been recruited and trained by the CIA in Afghanistan. Belmokhtar returned to Algeria in 1993 and joined the Salafist Group for Preaching and Combat (GSPC), which later changed its

name to the Al-Qaeda Organization in the Islamic Maghreb (AQIM) and aligned itself with the Libya Islamic Fighting Group (LIFG). "The LIFG is supported covertly by the CIA and Britain's MI6", stated Professor Michel Chossudovsky of Global Research (<http://www.globalresearch.ca/al-qaeda-in-the-islamic-maghreb-whos-whos-who-is-behind-the-terrorists/5319754>).

On 30 December 2012, La Rouché had reported that the LIFG was controlled by the British (<http://larouchepac.com/node/25000>). This article was based on a report, 'A View to Extremist Currents in Libya' (Expanded version) by a strategic advisory firm, KRONOS

(http://www.kronosadvisory.com/KRONOS_AViewToExtremistCurrentsInLibya_ApprovedForDistribution.pdf).

LIFG was the organisation which had connections with MI6 in relation to an attempted murder of Muammar Gadhafi in the 1990s, according to revelations by MI5 officer-turned-whistleblower David Shayler. The KRONOS report goes into some detail describing this network.

* Africa: Could it be the next Vietnam?

London-based Patrick Henningsen reported in Russia Today on the link between the ILFG and Africom

(<http://www.globalresearch.ca/us-africom-underway-with-mali-keeping-china-out-of-africa/5319694>).

Africom is a military apparatus set up by President George W. Bush to further US interests in Africa, and is based in Germany

(<http://www.africom.mil>). On 13 October last year Global Research published an article 'America's Secret War in Africa: Spreading drone warfare throughout Africa'

(<http://www.globalresearch.ca/americas-secret-war-in-africa/5307958>).

Never believe anything until it is officially denied!

(<http://www.africom.mil/Newsroom/ForTheRecord/10015/letter-to-the-editor-global-research-response>).

The fear is now that Africa could now quickly become the new Vietnam.

There is a movement 'Resist Africom', which is collecting signatures

(<http://salsa.democracyinaction.org/o/1552/t/5717/signUp.jsp?key=3094>)

(<http://www.resistafricom.org>).

* Sandy Hook: Was it an American Operation Gladio?

We really do have to wonder how many of these terrorist attacks are comparable with the Gladio operations in Europe. Take for instance the recent outrage at the Sandy Hook school in Newtown, US, on 14 December 2012, in which 20-year-old Adam Lanza was reported to have shot 27 people at his former school. Yet inconsistencies soon appeared in the story. Nick Kollerstrom produced an analysis on 30 December on his website

(<http://terroronthetube.co.uk/2012/12/30/sandy-hook-us-state-fabricated-terror>),

and on the same day, Ken O'Keefe, who recently talked to the 9/11 Keep Talking group on his relief work for Gaza, posted a video, expressing his disgust at what had happened, under the title 'Sandy Hook False Flag Psy-op - Ken O'Keefe - TJP' (<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZT-JES3AVj8>).

On 7 January 2013 a video was posted on YouTube, called 'The Sandy Hook Shooting - Fully Exposed' (http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wx9GxXYKx_8).

It went viral. By the end of January the number of viewings had reached 12 million, before going overnight down to 10.5 million. A notice appeared on the web page: "The following content has been identified by the YouTube community as being potentially offensive or inappropriate.

Viewer discretion is advised".

This video, posted by ThinkOutsideTheTV (<http://www.youtube.com/user/ThinkOutsideTheTV>), asked some very serious questions, and showed footage that threw doubt on the official version of the event. The apologists for the Establishment were soon debunking it. In a follow-up video, 'Sandy Hook Fully Exposed - OFFICIAL PART 2' (http://www.youtube.com/verify_controversy?next_url=/watch%3Fv%3D_nUOBSN03TU), they report on some of the debunking, and produce further evidence. Sandy Hook is mentioned by Richard Cottrell in an interview on the Alex Jones radio show, in which he explains why he thinks that Operation Gladio is alive and well. He tells of the history of Gladio, saying that the idea of stay-behind armies was put forward by Churchill at the time of the Boer War, but was later taken over by General Lemnitzer, who killed John F Kennedy, planned the Bay of Pigs false-flag operation in Cuba, and tried hard to kill France's President Charles de Gaulle. The video, 'Operation Gladio: The Cold War "Black Op" Continues' (http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=wU0fxLS0BSQ) provides an excellent introduction to the topic.

* Anti-SOPA campaigner Aaron Swartz: was it murder?

Then there was the apparent suicide of Aaron Swartz. The Guardian obituary reported: "The web programmer and open-data crusader Aaron Swartz has been found dead in his New York apartment, having apparently taken his own life at the age of 26. Swartz made a notable impact on the web: when he was 12, he wrote his first serious programs, and at 13 won an ArsDigita prize for creating a non-commercial website. He co-authored the RSS internet syndication standard, an automated system for distributing blogposts, at 14, and then contributed to the development of Lawrence Lessig's Creative Commons copyright system" (<http://www.guardian.co.uk/technology/2013/jan/13/aaron-swartz>). That's just the beginning of it. The Guardian later reported, "On 11 January, a young American geek named Aaron Swartz killed himself, and most of the world paid no attention ... But Swartz's death came like a thunderbolt in cyberspace, because this insanely talented, idealistic, complex, diminutive lad was a poster boy for everything that we value about the networked world" (<http://www.guardian.co.uk/technology/2013/jan/20/aaron-swartz-cannon-fodder-internet-freedom>).

Indeed, most of the US mainstream media paid little attention.

I first heard of his death on Russia Today, which announced, "Aaron Swartz was facing a possible sentence to decades in jail and a \$1 million fine over the alleged theft of journal articles with intent to post them online". However, it appears that the website owners, JSTOR, had made no complaint. One comment was that it's like trying to put someone in jail for allegedly checking too many books out of the library (<http://rt.com/news/aaron-swartz-suicide-reddit-858/>). It may be that the real reason for his persecution could have been his association with Wikileaks, as subsequently revealed by Wikileaks (<http://rt.com/usa/news/wikileaks-aaron-swartz-organization-448/>).

At his funeral, his father stated: "Aaron did not commit suicide but was killed by the government. Someone who made the world a better place was pushed to his death by the government" (<http://rt.com/usa/news/aaron-swartz-funeral-chicago-059/>) (<http://rt.com/usa/news/aaron-swartz-funeral-chicago-059/>). Writing in

the Henry Makow website on January 19, Richard Evans asked, "Was Aaron Swartz Murdered? When Aaron Swartz refused to deal with the devil, did the government 'suicide' him?"

"(<http://www.henrymakow.com/was-aaron-swartz-murdered.html>). Veteran campaigner Gordon Duff told Iran's Press TV that he believed that rogue elements within the CIA were responsible for his murder (<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2013/01/19/284445/media-bury-facts-on-us-dissident-death/>).

It seems that another hacker, Jonathan James, had been persecuted in a similar way by the same prosecutor in 2008, and is said to have committed suicide

(<http://rt.com/usa/news/swartz-prosecutor-suicide-hacker-050/>). The similarity with the case of UK weapons inspector Dr David Kelly is clear, though in his case there is strong forensic evidence that would support murder.

There's something really inspiring about Aaron Swartz. In an interview headed 'Aaron Swartz - We Can Change The World', he concluded: "The first step for everyone out there is to believe you can accomplish something" (<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JUt5gjqNI1w>). He recently gave a lecture on 'How we stopped SOPA'

(<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fgh2dFngFsg>). There he shows real insight into how the world really works, something that most campaigners for social causes tend to ignore. That video is embedded in an extensive article in The Daily Mail of 13 January 2013

(<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2261571/Aaron-Swartz-Family-blames-intimidation-MIT-federal-prosecutors-death-weeks-going-trial-hacking-charges.html>).

* Max Keiser with Alex Jones: Fascism is here

The Aaron Swartz affair was the main focal point of a whole edition of the Max Keiser report, broadcast on Russia Today on 22 January, in which Max Keiser stated that the US had already passed the threshold of tyranny. In the second half he interviewed Alex Jones of Infowars.com, who stated, "I don't necessarily believe that they didn't physically kill him". Alex Jones also talks about his now famous interview with Britain's Piers Morgan, who, following the Sandy Hook incident, has been campaigning in the US on the gun laws. It's about slavery and tyranny, Alex Jones stated. This was a remarkable broadcast, in that it was a dire warning to all of us in the West against the encroaching Fascism, and in this broadcast you don't have to be a financial guru to follow it (<http://maxkeiser.com/2013/01/22/kr396-keiser-report-threshold-of-tyranny-passed-ft-alex-jones/>).

Yet Max Keiser is taken seriously even on the BBC. Three days later he appeared on Andrew Neil's Daily Politics show giving a dire warning about the state of the UK economy (<http://maxkeiser1.blogspot.co.uk/>).

Bad news today from the US is tomorrow's bad news for the UK; it's coming here, too. Some would argue it's already here. Fascism in the UK is lurking behind the scenes. It's not as open and openly tyrannical as in Mussolini's Italy, or Nazi Germany, in that they don't kill openly and send people off to concentration camps - yet. But anyone whom the Establishment doesn't like could end up in Guantanamo Bay, or some similar place, or perhaps being suicided. The case of weapons inspector Dr David Kelly is a clear case of suspicious circumstances, in which the government was complicit in avoiding an inquest. What else should we think, other than that they are at the very least covering up a case of possible murder?

A new documentary, 'The Death of Dr Kelly: An Open Case', was broadcast on Iran's Press TV on 13 January (<http://www.presstv.ir/doc/aperture.html#The%20Death%20of%20Dr.%20Kelly-An%20Open%20Case>). It gives the background and context of the run-up to the Iraq War, as well as interviewing key experts on the case itself.

* The death of nurse Jacintha Saldanha: was it as it seemed?

Then there was the curious case of nurse Jacintha Saldanha, who reportedly committed suicide after having taken a call from two Australian radio pranksters posing as the Queen and Prince Charles, enquiring about Kate Middleton (the Duchess of Cambridge) at the King Henry VII Hospital

(<http://www.heavy.com/news/2012/12/jacintha-saldanha-kate-middleton-prank-call-suicide-dj/>).

Would that have been enough to push someone over the edge, and select a horrible way to do it, by choking to death? The post-mortem result was expected to be announced on 13 December 2012

(<http://www.dailyrecord.co.uk/news/uk-world-news/post-mortem-result-of-hoax-call-victim-1486170>)

(<http://metro.co.uk/2012/12/12/jacintha-saldanha-post-mortem-results-due-3313258/>),

but then on 13 December, MP Keith Vaz stated that the result would be sent to the family in four days time

(<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/9742422/Keith-Vaz-Jacintha-Saldanhas-family-await-post-mortem-report.html>),

and then the result was to be kept secret until the inquest resumes on 29 March. Why? The family have put sixty questions to the authorities, forty of which were to the King Edward VII Hospital, but they have received no answers

(<http://www.indianexpress.com/news/family-of-hoax-call-nurse-jacintha-saldanha-ask-60-questions-get-no-answers/1058822/0>).

In a world in which the authorities are not to be trusted, people would be right to be suspicious.

Was nurse Saldanha's death as it appeared, or did she know something she wasn't supposed to know? Two members of our 9/11 Keep Talking group started querying this, and one of them came up with a BBC Panorama broadcast on 20 September 1999, titled 'Private Risks', in which Emma Nicholson MEP, whose husband, Sir Michael Caine, died after treatment at the prestigious King Edward VII hospital used by the Queen Mother, tells of her shock at the inexperience of staff there. According to Panorama, "Private hospitals deal with 850,000 patients in Britain each year, but they are not legally registered and regulated as hospitals at all. They are registered as nursing homes. ... Dr Carl Waldmann, a member of the Council of the Intensive Care Society tells Panorama that the chances of Sir Michael Caine dying would have been 'minimal' had the staff been properly trained"

(<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/programmes/panorama/archive/450689.stm>). The King Henry VII Hospital is also a military hospital. Brian Gerrish is a former military man, who has carried out extensive investigations into Common Purpose. He made an intriguing statement in a recent lecture, saying: "So, you know, at the moment, Afghanistan and Iraq is basically, has been, a sausage machine to create casualties, and I believe that they want some of those casualties because I believe they are using the wounded for experiments with prosthetics." (Brian Gerrish: 'Savile and the Mindbending Changeagents of Westminster - Brian Gerrish')

- at 43:50) (<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jmtp74vof5Q>). I'd be interested in what he is basing this on.

* 9/11 wars: steps nearer to new Nuremberg trials

The iconic event which triggered the truth movement was, of course, 9/11. The International Hearings on the Events of September 11, 2001, took place during the 10th Anniversary of the 9/11 attacks at Ryerson University in Toronto, Canada, from September 8-11, 2011. The DVD that chronicled the conference activities, titled 'The Toronto Hearings on 9/11: Uncovering Ten Years of Deception', was released in April 2012, and includes over five hours of multimedia testimony. This is available from the website of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth. Now the official report of the hearings is now available. It's called 'The 9/11 Toronto Report' (<http://www.ae911truth.org/en/news-section/41-articles/697-the-toronto-hearings-report-now-available.html>).

The report concludes that The 9/11 attacks were "crimes against humanity", that the cover-up crimes need to be identified and prosecuted, and that the official reports have failed to provide a satisfactory explanation of the events. This promises to be a key document in pressing for 9/11 truth. It has no legal status, but has the status of a scientific conference, and could be influential in setting up some sort of judicial proceedings.

In Malaysia, a tribunal known as The Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission (KLWCC) was founded by former Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir Mohamad (<http://mathaba.net/news/?x=630223>). This was seen as an alternative to the International Criminal Court in The Hague, which Mahathir accused of bias in its selection of cases to cover (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kuala_Lumpur_War_Crimes_Commission). On 22 November 2011, following a four-day hearing, the KLWCC found George W Bush and Anthony L Blair guilty of war crimes in relation to the invasion of Iraq in 2003 (<http://exopolitics.blogspot.com/files/extempore-judgment-of-the-kl-war-crimes-tribunal.pdf>). This was reported in Global Research (<http://www.globalresearch.ca/chief-prosecutor-of-the-kuala-lumpur-war-crimes-commission-v-george-w-bush-anthony-l-blair/27821>), Al Jazeera (<http://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/2011/11/20111128105712109215.html>) and Press TV (<http://presstv.com/detail/211548.html>). On 12 May 2012 the same tribunal found George W. Bush and associates guilty of torture (<http://www.globalresearch.ca/bush-and-associates-found-guilty-of-torture/31558>). I think it would now be a good idea for someone to make formal representation to the KLWCC against George W Bush and Anthony L Blair, providing the Toronto Report as evidence of war crimes in relation to 9/11.

I first heard of the KLWCC verdict in an amazing 16-page article in a German Catholic magazine called Komma, which a friend handed to me at a New Year event in Germany. It was titled 'Meinungsmanipulation: Was uns die Massenmedien verschweigen' [Thought manipulation: What the mass media are keeping from us], and it was written by Professor Michael Friedrich Vogt, a former professor of Public Relations. It described many issues in which the press was hiding the truth from the public, and first and foremost came 9/11 and a description of the current truth movement.

The piece that caught my attention ran: "Turning to Malaysia - this report too was not carried in the mainstream: Bush and Blair found guilty of war crimes. The local (and so far the first) criminal proceedings against the former US President George W Bush and former British Prime Minister Tony Blair before the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission (KLWCC) for war crimes in Iraq ended with a verdict of guilty against the accused. Bush and Blair have now been placed on the official list of wanted war criminals.

"Finally, the judges decided that as responsible heads of state, Bush and Blair were guilty of committing an Act of Aggression contrary to common law rights, through deceit and through misuse and selective manipulation of international law, this Act of Aggression having led eventually to mass murder amongst the population of Iraq. Where does one read that in the mainstream press?"

* There's something wrong - and everything depends on me!

"Do you ever feel like something is horribly wrong? Sometimes maybe you think it's all in your head?", asks the Know the Lies website, " ... If it FEELS wrong ... odds are it IS wrong" (<http://knowthelies.com/about>). David Icke has produced a short video, in which he appeals to everyone to speak out. It's called 'David Icke - the Bystander effect - It's all.. ME...ME...ME!'. He says that if we carry on saying 'Me, me, me', we "give the very 'divide-and-rule necessary ..." (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wTsSKdcQvoU>). I also have a historical novel on my bookshelves called 'Kvazaũ čio dependus de mi' [As if everything depended on me] (<http://katalogo.uea.org/katalogo.php?inf=8249>). What a good slogan! The more ordinary folk just keep talking about the mass deceptions that are being carried out in our name, the more they give protection to whistleblowers and others who take leading roles in the exposure of such things in our society.

.....

Berkshire 9/11 Truth Movement / Keep Talking Analytical Newsletter for **September 2013** (2013-10-05)

- * A BRIC Wall ascends in bid to restore the balance of power
- * How Banking is behind the New World Disorder
- * Rouhani's UN speech: Mistranslation as Weapon of Mass Deception
- * After Syria: the propaganda narrative is unravelling
- * The failure of the peace movements
- * Seven Seconds: theatre brings the 9/11 message home in London
- * Rethink 9/11 advertising campaign breaks through – but is ignored by the UK press
- * The US on the brink of martial law
- * The Resistance – by Public Banking

A BRIC Wall has ascended across the world. It has replaced the Iron Curtain, which according to Winston Churchill's famous 'Iron Curtain' speech of 1946 had descended across Europe (<http://history1900s.about.com/od/churchillwinston/a/Iron-Curtain.htm>), and which came crashing down in 1991. In that speech, Churchill advocated that the newly formed United Nations Organisation should not be "merely a cockpit in a Tower of Babel", but, that the organisation "must immediately begin to be equipped with an international armed force". Yet when he comes to the "crux" of what he has to say, he

states: "Neither the sure prevention of war, nor the continuous rise of world organisation will be gained without what I have called the fraternal association of the English-speaking peoples. This means a special relationship between the British Commonwealth and Empire and the United States". He explained that this fraternal association is a military association, which would greatly expand the British Empire Forces. He stated that the old doctrine of a balance of power is unsound, and that influence of the Western Democracies "will be immense and no one is likely to molest them".

He then continued: "There is however an important question we must ask ourselves. Would a special relationship between the United States and the British Commonwealth be inconsistent with our over-riding loyalties to the World Organisation? I reply that, on the contrary, it is probably the only means by which that organisation will achieve its full stature and strength". In other words, the dominant military force in the United Nations would be the combined British-US force, which we now call NATO. This would be strengthened by the fact that the UN would not have the atomic bomb, but the US would. Earlier in his speech, Churchill had stated: "The United States stands at this time at the pinnacle of world power. ... Opportunity is here now, clear and shining for both our countries". That is exactly how the world has been evolving. A multilingual peace-keeping United Nations was being usurped by the "English-Speaking" and much more powerful NATO.

* How Banking is behind the New World Disorder

When the governments of the United States and Britain were threatening to bomb Syria, the BRIC countries stepped in. The BRIC countries are Brazil, Russia, India and China, who have no military alliance, but maintain their independence from the Anglo-American domination. Various smaller countries have tried to maintain their independence, but have been more vulnerable. Ellen Hodgson Brown is president of the Public Banking Institute, and explains how banking is behind the present military situation, in an article 'Making the World Safe for Banksters', published on 5 September (<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article36123.htm>). She wrote: "Greg Palast posted evidence of a secret late-1990s plan devised by Wall Street and U.S. Treasury officials to open banking to the lucrative derivatives business. To pull this off required the relaxation of banking regulations not just in the US but globally. ... The 'end-game' would require not just coercing support among WTO members but taking down those countries refusing to join". Further on, she explained: "Forty percent of banks globally are publicly-owned. They are largely in the BRIC countries—Brazil, Russia, India and China—which house forty percent of the global population. They also escaped the 2008 credit crisis, but they at least made a show of conforming to Western banking rules. This was not true of the 'rogue' Islamic nations, where usury was forbidden by Islamic teaching. To make the world safe for usury, these rogue states had to be silenced by other means. Having failed to succumb to economic coercion, they wound up in the crosshairs of the powerful US military".

Under a subheading 'The Holdouts', she points out that Palast did not discuss the countries that were not in the World Trade Organisation at all, including Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, and Iran. She continued: "These seven countries were named by U.S. General Wesley Clark (Ret.) in a 2007 "Democracy Now" interview as the new 'rogue

states' being targeted for take down after September 11, 2001. He said that about 10 days after 9-11, he was told by a general that the decision had been made to go to war with Iraq. Later, the same general said they planned to take out seven countries in five years: Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, and Iran". Other countries later identified as 'rogue states' that were also not members of the BIS included North Korea, Cuba and Afghanistan, she added.

* Rouhani's UN speech: Mistranslation as Weapon of Mass Deception

After Syria, it looks as if Iran would be next on the list. Russia's President Putin had some issues with Iran's President Ahmadinejad, not over what he was saying, but on how provocatively he was saying it. Iran's new President Hassan Rouhani gave a much more diplomatic speech at the United Nations on 24 September. I read through that speech in English translation in, of all places, the website of the Israeli Haaretz newspaper (<http://www.haaretz.com/news/diplomacy-defense/1.548913>), before hearing it reported on Channel 4 News. I knew immediately that there was something wrong in the reporting, because he was said to have accepted the Holocaust, which his predecessor President Ahmadinejad had been denying, whereas in the speech he had sidestepped the issue.

Then I came across an article in Fars News, headed 'Exclusive: CNN Fabricates Iranian President's Remarks about Holocaust'. This was about mistranslations of a television interview that President Rouhani had given, in which the interpreter had twisted his words. Again, Rouhani had carefully sidestepped the issue of the Holocaust, by saying that he was not a historian. Yet that is not how it was reported. The article stated: "After the CNN released the interview, hundreds of news agencies, TV and news channels, websites and weblogs broadcast this title: "Iran's President Rouhani Calls Holocaust 'Reprehensible' Crime Against Jews", a title quoted from the CNN; Or 'Rouhani Recognizes the Holocaust as Crime against Jews'. This issue seems to have split Israel from NATO countries, leaving their government alone in screaming for war, whilst the US is persuaded to back down and talk to her neighbours across the BRIC wall.

When I relayed this information to some of my Esperanto friends I was asked for an article for the 'Eŭropa Bulteno' [European Bulletin] of the Eŭropa Esperanto-Unio [European Esperanto Union], which will appear shortly in the September-October issue (<http://www.europo.eu/en/europa-bulteno>). I added that one shouldn't be surprised that such mistranslations occur, when one considers the misreporting even when it's not necessary to translate the texts. The renown veteran US journalist Seymour Hersch recently stated in a lecture to students of journalism at The City University in London that the news bureaux of NBC and ABC should be closed down, and 90% of editors sacked (<http://www.theguardian.com/media/media-blog/2013/sep/27/seymour-hersh-obama-nsa-american-media>).

'Eŭropa Bulteno' is a small circulation periodical, but it could be influential; their President is an Irish diplomat at the European Commission, and one possible reader of this in Esperanto is the German ambassador to Russia.

Then I came across an article on Iran's Press TV website, by Kevin Barrett, headed 'Holocaust of lies: US Mainstream media'

(<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2013/09/30/326854/holocaust-of-lies-us-mainstream-media/>).

It began: "They've done it again. The mainstream US media has once again mistranslated an Iranian president's words. Last time, they were lying to make the former President of Iran sound anti-West and anti-Israel. Now they are lying to make the new President of Iran sound pro-West and pro-Israel. I suppose that is an improvement. Lying for peace is at least marginally better than lying for war."

I added a comment: "Propaganda by mistranslation is a particularly foul form of deception. Dr Barrett, makes out a perfect case for the use of Esperanto. When the 9/11 wars are over, the Esperanto idea will again make perfect sense. The "English-Speaking Idea", was launched by Cecil Rhodes in 1891. His colleague, the megafamous journalist W T Stead, objected when he realised that Cecil Rhodes was provoking more wars. Stead was marginalised, but went on to co-found the London Esperanto Club in 1903, and to become the first President of the British Esperantist Association (Inc) in 1904. I, too, found myself marginalised when, in 2003, I attempted to revive the memory of W T Stead for the centenary of the national Esperanto association. Esperanto has been dumbed down by the Anglo-American Establishment. Iranian Esperantists should be given every support during this difficult period, in keeping Esperanto alive.". Later I added: "To see how Esperanto has been constantly dumbed down in the West, see some examples at <http://rik.poreo.org> . Now that this is known, the BRIC Countries will have an interest in encouraging Esperanto for direct communication, and the removal of the risk of intentional mistranslation by a corrupt mainstream media.". I now find that the Indonesian Ministry of Foreign Affairs has decided to include the Esperanto Language as a subject of study for Indonesian diplomats (<http://en.voi.co.id/voi-news/3792-ministry-of-foreign-affairs-include-esperanto-assubjectforridiplomats>).

* Syria: the propaganda narrative is unravelling

My co-organiser of the Keep Talking group, Nick Kollerstrom, gave an interview on the Kent Freedom Movement on the twelfth anniversary of 9/11, in which he put the Syrian crisis into the context of the War on Terror

(<http://kentfreedommovement.com/video/kent-freedom-movement-with-nick-kollerstrom-on-9-11-and-the>).

The current invasions are following on from the report 'Project for a New American Century', and, of course, the Russians will be fully aware of such documents.

"I think what is terribly important about this fabricated terror event is that it's generally not being believed by most of the world. ...Now, this is all starting to unravel ... I think it's the real meaning of this twelfth anniversary moment in history: The narrative is unravelling. When did the narrative start to unravel? At the Boston bombing narrative, when suddenly ... about half the American public is not believing the official narrative, and even Fox News is saying: Did the FBI do this? Did they set it up? ... but generally that is not echoed in this country", Nick explained.

On Syria, Nick said we hadn't seen such brinkmanship since possibly the Cuban Missile Crisis. I think it's far worse than that. At least in the Cuban Missile Crisis we had rational people leading each side, and they both wanted to avoid war; we don't now. On the Anglo-American side we

have politicians who are either hell-bent on war or are mere puppets of those who are hell-bent on war. "AIPAC's [The American Israel Public Affairs Committee] massively campaigning for war", Nick said, " - just realising what a terrible mistake they made. People don't want it. Ordinary people are no longer believe the hellish lies".

* The failure of the peace movements

Asked about the attitude of the Peace Movement, Nick said: "Normally, it's a terrible shame and a disgrace how the peace movement will not touch anything to do with fabricated terror", but he did report on a speech given by former diplomat Craig Murry at the anti-war demonstration in Trafalgar Square, in which he talked about suspect intelligence. I reported on that in my August newsletter. Some time after that, I went into Housman's 'radical' bookshop in Caledonian Road (<http://www.housmans.com/>) and asked if they had 'Terror on the Tube'. "We don't do conspiracy theories", the shop assistant said. I pointed out that the author had conducted a serious investigation and that he had a doctorate in the history of science. "That doesn't impress me", he said. It appears that that is company policy, as decided by the board.

I found that interesting, because I had just seen a copy of 'Undercover: The True Story Of Britain's Secret Police' by Paul Lewis and Rob Evans, published in June this year. Presumably it reports on the stuff about political policing that I have been writing up in my newsletters over the past few years. When does a 'conspiracy theory' become a 'theory of conspiracy', I wondered. On the counter in front of me was a pile of the newspaper Peace News, produced by War Resisters International, whose office is at Houseman's Bookshop. Near that was a pile of Housman's Peace Diary (<http://www.housmans.com/diary.php>). The 2014 edition includes "World Peace Directory listing 1500 national and international peace, environment and human rights organisations from around the world. This year's special feature looks at the 100th anniversary of the First World War". Could someone please tell me what war over the past million years has not been started by a conspiracy?

* Seven Seconds: theatre brings the 9/11 message home in London

The shop assistant did, however, accept a stock of leaflets for the multimedia theatrical production 'Seven Seconds', about the third tower to fall in 9/11, which collapsed without having been hit by an aircraft, and fell straight down as in controlled demolition in just seven seconds (<http://etceteratheatre.com/index.php?id=2>). He immediately displayed them on the counter, and said he'd post one on the notice board. It's amazing how presenting the case as an artistic performance can break down the barriers. Even the central public library was keen to accept the leaflets and a few posters. They even requested a pdf of the poster. I distributed 1500 leaflets on that day, and probably something like a thousand personally in the street outside the Et Cetera Theatre in the bustling Camden High Street near the Camden Lock Market. During the day not a single person said anything negative about 9/11 truth, and I had long conversations with four people who already knew quite a bit about 9/11, and once they had started talking just couldn't not keep talking. I did the same on the afternoon of the first performance, together with a colleague, and again had four long conversations, and no-one was critical.

On 1 October I went to the opening evening and was quite impressed. I sat at the back so that I could see the audience reaction, and they were

quite absorbed. The play is a simulated press conference, in which actors play well-known journalists from the mainstream media. Their points are taken from their own writings. The points are answered, with copious footage from 9/11 truth videos. The most poignant part for me occurred at the end, when the actors received the applause, and then turned to face the screen, where the faces of many pioneer truth activists following 9/11 were shown. The applause intensified. Truth activists were at last being applauded by the public for their efforts. I hope as many people as possible will make the effort to see this play, not only for their own edification, but to support a truly worthwhile effort, and perhaps to talk to people in the pub downstairs and generate more interest.

* Rethink 9/11 advertising campaign breaks through – but is ignored by the UK press

This play on the collapse of Building 7 follows on from a massive advertising campaign by Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth under the slogan 'Rethink 9/11'. There is an impressive array of photos of ReThink911 ads seen in 12 cities across the world in September 2013. (<http://rethink911.org/photo-gallery/>). These include street boards in London at Old Street Roundabout, and a billboard at 75 Commercial Road. Over 14 000 people have now signed the Rethink 9/11 petition, calling for a new investigation (<http://rethink911.org>).

To coincide with this advertising campaign, the video '9/11 Explosive Evidence - Experts Speak Out' has gone international, with a DVD in eighteen languages (<http://www.ae911truth.org/en/news-section/41-articles/800-q911-explosive-evidence-experts-speak-out-goes-international.html>).

It's been a source of great regret that I couldn't get a 9/11 film out in Esperanto. I translated the films 'Zero: An investigation into 9/11' and 'Loose Change Final Cut' for subtitling in Esperanto, but somehow the plug got pulled before the project could be completed. If some people are interested in adding Esperanto to this AE911Truth video, then please let me know, then we can set up a team. The translation teams have also produced their own multilingual video (<http://911expertsspeakout.com/index.php/translation-team-video>).

I could find no reports on this in the British mainstream media, but had to turn to the Iranian Press TV (<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2013/09/11/323308/americans-question-us-version-of-911/>), which reported that a new poll conducted by YouGov showed that one out of every two Americans "have doubts about the official report of the 9/11 events" and that 10 percent do not believe the official story at all, while 12 percent are unsure what to believe. Unfortunately, Press TV is banned in the UK.

On 12 September, RT asked "Has questioning 9/11 become more acceptable?" (<http://rt.com/op-edge/questioning-9-11-conspiracy-acceptable-708/>). Perhaps the most significant pointer to a breakthrough on this in the article is the sentence: "As the diplomatic spectacle around Syria unfolds and the anniversary of 9/11 looms, these times prompt the question, "What else could they be lying about?". The fact that such an article has appeared on the RT website I think itself demonstrates a breakthrough. RT has not so far got itself banned in the UK.

The radio station The Voice of Russia has put up an article 'Rethink

9/11 initiative proves Americans still question the tragedy, demand further investigation' on 11 September, in which they report on the YouGov opinion polls, and include the video '9/11 Explosive Evidence: Experts Speak Out'

(http://voiceofrussia.com/2013_09_11/Rethink-9-11-initiative-proves-Americans-still-question-the-tragedy-demand-further-investigation-7069/).

I found, too, a Russian language Israeli television channel which reported on the Rethink 9/11 petition

(<http://9tv.co.il/news/2013/09/11/158531.html>). There was the occasional report from across the Atlantic, such as the one in Time Magazine, which takes a jaundiced view of the Rethink 9/11 campaign

(<http://nation.time.com/2013/09/11/sept-11-truthers-mark-anniversary/>),

stating that the fires in Building 7 were "uncontrollable". Plenty of stuff has appeared in the alternative press, but I have tried in vain to find a single mention in the UK mainstream media.

In Italy, the Italian-language version of 'The Huffington Post' and the English-language 'The Local', reported on a seven-minute speech made in the Italian Parliament on 11 September by Paolo Bernini, representing the Five Star Movement, in which he stated that 9/11 was an inside job, and that the official version had been "proved wrong from every point of view". "It's clearly wrong, and by now the world has realised", he added

(http://www.huffingtonpost.it/2013/09/12/paolo-bernini-11-settembr_n_3911580.html)

(<http://www.thelocal.it/page/view/video-911-was-an-inside-job-italian-mp>).

His speech put 9/11 in the context of previous false flag attacks, and this aspect was reported in the Italian 'Il Post'

(<http://www.ilpost.it/2013/09/11/paolo-bernini-11-settembre-inside-job/>). I

see that Max Keiser is now pretty well saying that it was an inside job, and that you can see that by watching the financial markets

(<http://www.infowars.com/max-keiser-talks-about-911-being-an-inside-job/>).

* The US on the brink of martial law

So what happens now? The BRIC countries and at least some of the so-called 'rogue states' are drawing together in order to resist the anglosphere's push for total hegemony: military, cultural, financial, and linguistic. Some other countries are joining in, too. Bolivian president Evo Morales has called an emergency meeting of the Community of Latin American and Caribbean States (CELAC) to address the issue of neo-colonial intimidation in the region. He has also announced his intent to file a lawsuit against the United States government for crimes against humanity

(<http://www.pslweb.org/liberationnews/news/bolivia-to-sue-united-states.html>).

At the same time the world is waking up to the lies behind the propaganda of the English-American Establishment – and I still have a sense of mourning for Western democracy as I write those words. But how will the West now react. Following the rejection of the idea of waging war on Syria in the House of Commons, the war effort has collapsed like a house of cards. What are the options now for whoever is pulling the puppet strings?

There has been talk of the US moving towards martial law for some time. Shortly after I had become aware of the 9/11 Truth Movement in December 2006 I was asking what happens when half the population believe that 9/11 was an inside job. I wasn't getting answers because no-one knew. Or perhaps they were thinking what I was thinking: that martial law would be declared. In April Alex Jones put out a sobering broadcast under the title 'Mass Arrest and Gun Confiscation Has Begun'

(<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ac4lDhjlS7Y&feature=youtu.be>). He reported: "Tyranny is rising. The examples we have seen from the 20th century by Hitler's Nazi Germany, Stalin, Mao and other despots are surfacing in America today. The police state starts out by throwing the book at selected groups like liberty lovers and veterans, pot smokers and gun owners alike. Children are being abducted by the nanny state as the tyranny escalates. History demonstrates that the tyranny does not stop at these groups but soon every citizen falls victim to police state terror. The police state isn't coming, its already here!"

On 3 October a spine-chilling video appeared on the Alex Jones channel, after a mother had been killed in front of her child at a random road check in the US ('D.C. Police Kill Mother in Front Of Child ': <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I7arWZvWSy0>). "More and more we see people that get shot for no reason at all", he stated, saying that it's a "paramilitary government". "The government is out of control because the authoritarians that run it – the foreign banks – want a police state in place, so that they can do anything they want to the American people", he said.

The previous day, Alex Jones had put out a broadcast describing the encroaching tyranny in the US, and went into some detail on how it works ('Obama Threatens to Arrest WWII Vets ': <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qCvk7TGWARQ>). "The new world order is about breaking us", he said. It sounds to me like the sort of bullying they do in the Bullingdon Club, but on a grand scale. It's nasty. He also talked of the amalgamation of the Pacific Union, the North American Union and the EU, all part of the 'Trilateral Programme' and are all merging right now.

So it looks as if the BRIC wall is real. On the one side we have control by private banks, which have been gaining more and more control over the past century, and are now playing the end game. On the other side of the BRIC wall we have 'public banks', which at least have some form of accountability, even if it is well known that attempts at proper democracy will be subverted by the CIA.

I'm wondering about the budget crisis in the US, which is said to have closed down government. What happens when a government closes down? The people who can pay for all this are the international bankers, because they control the money supply. So is this budget crisis genuine, or is it contrived? Is the US now on the brink of martial law?

A video appeared on the Internet, headed 'Martial law to be called on or about 1 October', in which Kevin Allen shows reports of 386 000 foreign troops on US soil as part of UN exercises (<http://beforeitsnews.com/u-s-politics/2013/09/martial-law-to-begin-october-1st-2448330.html>).

He draws the inference that the US government appears to be preparing for a major event, and that this event is being staged for approximately October 1st. He has since set up a web page with videos, on "Martial Law" (http://www.masterofmanythings.com/Martial_Law.html). In one of those videos he says that martial law is already here, but that so far "It's a silent martial law". The UN troops, he says, are learning English. Sounds like a permanent occupation to me. Will there be an apocalyptic declaration of martial law supposedly resulting from the government shutdown? Or will it just happen silently?

* The Resistance – by Public Banking

Ellen Brown explains the concept of public banking in an interview by the Justice Party, headed 'Banking for We the People' and made available in audio

(<http://www.mixcloud.com/justiceparty/banking-for-we-the-people/>). The only state to have public banking in the US is North Dakota, which escaped the financial crisis of 2008. So if it's possible there, there has to be hope for other states to follow on.

There must be many good people in the US Administration and in the Anglo-American Establishment who would stand up to tyranny, as long as they feel they would have popular support. A powerful opposition must in the end come from within. But to enable that, we must all keep talking.